



IN REPLY REFER TO

DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY
CAMERON STATION
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

OSA-1553-68
CI-68-16-297

7322
STATINTL
18

REPLY TO:

May 8, 1968

SUBJECT: Report on Evaluation of Price Proposal

Contract ☐ (657) 12846 - ECP 1940-29

TO : Contracting Officer

REF : ☐ Technical Proposal ECP #1940-29 dated 29 April 1968

1. Purpose of Evaluation. Per request, an evaluation has been made of the contractor's CPIF proposal for the purpose of determining the reasonableness of the estimated costs in the amount of \$9,503, for the SCL Logic Plague and Memory RFI Retrofit.

2. Scope of Evaluation. The review included an examination of the labor, overhead, and general and administrative rates as well as the pricing of the estimated bill of materials.

3. Results of Evaluation. Subject to a review of the estimated labor hours by a technical representative, the auditor accepts the proposed costs based on the following comments:

Engineering Labor
Engineering Burden
Materials
General and Administrative
Total Cost
Fee Requested
Total Proposal

Per
Proposal Comments

a. The auditor accepts the contractor's hourly rates, since they compare favorably with what the contractor is currently experiencing under this program.

STATINTL

-2-

b. The proposed burden and G&A rates are those agreed to for use in bid proposals and are therefore acceptable.

c. The estimated material costs were adequately supported by a purchase order placed for the effort plus a current vendor's quote for the remaining items.

4. Comments on Fee. The contractor has requested a fee based on ☐ of the estimated costs. The original target fee negotiated for the basic contract was based on ☐ of the estimated target costs.

STATINTL

STATINTL

for Charles M. Hanley
ARTHUR G. HANLEY
DCAA Representative - APL